Predictors of Pesticide Household Dust Levels in an Area with Intense Agriculture

Martha Harnly, California Dept. Health Services
Asa Bradman, U.C. Berkeley, School of Public Health
Erin Weltzien, U.C. Berkeley, School of Public Health
Meredith Anderson, Impact Assessment, Inc.
Robert McLaughlin, California Dept. Health Services





- ? Cohort study of 600 pregnant women and their children
- ? Two of five study aims:
 - To estimate sources and pathways of pesticide exposures to children living in an agricultural community.
 - To determine the relationship of pesticide exposure and:
 - ? neurodevelopment
 - ? growth
 - ? respiratory disease







Overview

	Enrollment	26-Weeks	Delivery	6-Months	12-Months	24-Months
Questionnaire	Ŕ	Ŕ	Ŕ	Ŕ	Ŕ	Ŕ
Neurodevelopment & Growth Assessments			Ŕ	Ŕ	Ŕ	Ŕ
Home inspection/ Environmental samples: e.g., dust	Ŕ			Ŕ	Ŕ	Ŕ
Biological Specimens	Urine	Urine Blood	Urine Blood Cord Blood Breastmilk	Urine Breastmilk	Urine Blood	Urine Blood

Pesticide Use Reporting (PUR)

Annual Pounds of Organophosphates applied in Salinas Valley: 450,000



Characteristics of CHAMACOS Mothers (N=601)

- ? 92% Spanish-speaking
- ? $54\% \le 5$ years in U.S.
- ? 44% 6th grade education
- ? 84% have agricultural workers in household



Crops Grown in Salinas

- ? Lettuce
- ? Broccoli
- ? Cauliflower
- ? Other vegetable row crops
- ? Strawberries
- ? Vineyards



Household Dust and Exposure

- Children have opportunity for direct exposure.
- Dust may be a "sink" or reservoir for pesticides that adhere to soil particles.
- Little opportunity for environmental breakdown, i.e., little uv light.



Sampling Method

- ? Square meter in living area or living/kitchen area
- ? If no carpet, then furniture sampled.
- ? HSV sampling: deep dust.
- Home visit included:
 - GPS reading
 - reading any containers of pesticide used in the home
 - noting if any ag field was within 200 feet



Selection of Dust Samples for Laboratory Analysis

- ? 170 homes randomly selected from 380 homes with home visits at all three home visits:
 - ? baseline
 - ? child 6 months of age.
 - ? child 12 months of age
- ? Here, in this presentation, will present dust concentrations at 6 month visit



Dust Analyte Selection

Lbs used agriculturally in Salinas Valley in 2001

133,537
96,520
74,349
65,366
57,859
54,945
45,700
32,669
30,187
3,166
1,839
769
124



Laboratory Methods Marcia Nishioka Battelle Memorial Institute, Columbus, OH

- ? Extraction Method
- Cleanup Method
 - remove major coextractants of the dust matrix
- ? Detection Method
 - GC/MS/MID or
 - LC/MS/MS
- ? Method Validation
 - Low level spike:50-250 ng to 0.5 g dust
 - High level spike:500 ng-2.5 ?g to 0.5 g dust

- Sample Analysis: QA/QC
 - full calibration curve (5-7 points with each sample set)
 - QC samples: solvent method and reference dust blanks and spikes.
 - One replicate sample per sample set
 - recoveries based on surrogate standards (between 70-130%)



Household Dust: Quantification Limits (QL)

	Range of QLs (ng/g)					
	QL for highest	QL for lowest	% of Samples			
Analyte	mass(.5 g)	mass(.01 g)	Above QL			
Permethrins	2	50	99%			
Dacthal	2	20	93%			
Chlorpyrifos ^(OP)	2	20	86%			
Diazinon ^(OP)	1	20	87%			
Oxydemeton ^(OP)	1	50	67%			
Malathion ^(OP)	3	100	49%			
Iprodione	3	100	44%			
Methomyl	15	600	41%			
Bensulide ^(OP)	5	300	17%			
Methamidophos ^(OP)	1	50	9%			
Phosmet ^{(OP)⁻}	3	100	5%			
Azinphosmethyl ^(OP)	100	2000	4%			
Fenamiphos ^(OP)	1	50	5%			

Normality of Distributions?



Chlorpyrifos Dust Conc (ng/g)

Pesticides with High % of Detects: Median Concentrations (ng/g)

		Permeth rin-cis	Dacthal	Chlorpy- rifos ^(OP)	Diazi- non ^(OP)
Any Ag Worker in household	N (%)				
No	50 (30%)	295	18	53	25
Yes	118(70%)	353	<mark>32*</mark>	74	31
Ag field: 200 feet from house					
No	151 (90%)	320	24	68	31
Yes	17 (10%)	453	<mark>80*</mark>	38	26

* p<0.05

Pesticides With Fewer Detects Percentage Above QL

chi-square test (*p<0.05)

	Ν	Ipro- dione	Mala- thion ^(OP)	Oxyde- meton ^(OP)	Metho- myl
Any Ag Worker in household					
No	50	24%	6%	51%	24%
Yes	118	<mark>49%*</mark>	13%	59%	28%
Ag field: 200 feet from house	151	104	1 7 0⁄	5/10/	2504
NO	151	41%	12%	54%	25%
Yes	17	47%	0%	<mark>86%*</mark>	43%

Questionnaire Variables and Dust Levels: Sig (p<0.05) Association?

	Perme- thrin	Dacthal	Chlorpy- rifos ^(OP)	Diazi- non ^(OP)	Oxyde- meton ^(OP)	Mala- thion ^(OP)	Ipro- dione
Housekeep-	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	Yes
ing Quality							
Ag Worker	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No	Yes
Store							
Clothes in							
house							
Mother's	No	No	No	No	No	No	Yes
country of							
birth							
Sample	No	No	No	No	Yes	No	No
Collected							
from							
Furniture							

Questionnaire Variables: No apparent association with dust

- ? Home OP pesticide use (number with yes =5)
- ? Professionally applied pesticides
- ? Season of dust collection
- ? Family at or below poverty level
- ? Mother's age



PUR vs Dust Concentrations Spearman Correlation Coefficients

Days 1-14 is dust collection day plus the 13 days prior Days 1-90 is dust collection day plus the 89 days prior

	DAYS 1-14		DAYS 1-90	
	PUR Median (lbs/9 miles ²)	Spearman Coefficient	PUR Median (lbs/9 miles ²)	Spearman Coefficient
Permethrin cis	5.8	0.04	75	0.01
Dachtal	<mark>0.0</mark>	0.32***	<mark>64</mark>	<mark>0.49***</mark>
Chlorpyrifos ^(OP)	8.4	0.07	83	0.10
Diazinon ^(OP)	37	0.003	334	-0.07
Oxydemeton ^(OP)	1.0	0.08	116	0.08
Malathion ^(OP)	0.0	0.07	61	-0.02
Iprodione	2.1	<mark>0.30***</mark>	135	0.33***
Methomyl	22	-0.04	232	0.11
*** .0.0001		•		

***p,<0.0001

Result Summary

- *Within 200 feet of ag field* associated with dacthal, iprodione, oxydemeton ^(OP)
- Any ag worker in house associated with dacthal, iprodione
- Ag worker clothes in house associated with dacthal, iprodione, permethrins
- Agricultural pesticide use (PUR) correlated with dacthal, iprodione

• Chlorpyrifos:

- broad spectrum OP insecticide
- vapor pressure: 2*10-5 mm hg
- half-life in air: 4 hours (ref: HSDB)

• Dacthal (aka: chlorthal-dimethyl or DCPA)

- herbicide, chlorinated phathlate
- vapor pressure: 3*10-6 mm hg
- half-life in air: 36 days (ref: HSDB)

• Iprodione

- fungicide,
- vapor pressure: 4*10-9 mm hg
- not expected to vaporize: (ref: HSDB)







Next Steps:

- Other Variables
 - questionnaire, home visit
 - PUR, e.g., other spatial scales, crop, pesticide product, air or ground applied
- Loading (ng/m2)
- Multivariate analysis, including repeated measures
- Public Health Impact





Funders



U.S. Environmental Protection Agency



National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences

Health Risk

Hazard Quotient

Ratio of: Estimated Intake / Reference Dose Estimated Intake (mg/kg/day)= Conc in Dust X Exposure Factors

see Bradman et al; J Exposure Analysis and Env Epi: 7, no 2, 1997, pg 217-234.

HQs for Chlorpyrifos are lower than one